## **EVALUATION OF CEREBROVASCULAR DISEASE**

disease from intracranial carotid disease, a process that is not done well using current techniques.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Bone GE, Barnes RW: Limitations of the Doppler cerebrovascular examination in hemispheric cerebral ischemia. Surgery 79:577-580, May 1976
- 2. Russell HE, Burger RJ, Barnes RW: The Doppler ultrasonic cerebrovascular examination: Improved accuracy by refinement of technique. Twentieth Annual Conference, American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine, Oct 1975, Winston-Salem, NC

  3. Barnes RW, Russell HE, Bone GE, et al: Doppler cerebro-
- vascular examination: Improved results with refinements in techniques. Stroke 8:468-471, Jul-Aug 1977
- 4. Lye CR, Sumner DS, Strandness DE: The accuracy of the supraorbital Doppler examination in the diagnosis of hemodynamically significant carotid occlusive disease. Surgery 79:42-45, Jan 1976
- 5. Brockenbrough EC: Screening for the prevention of stroke: Use of a Doppler flow meter. Information and Education Resource Support Unit, Seattle, Washington/Alaska Regional Medical Program, 1969
- 6. Wise G, Brockenbrough EC, Marty R: The detection of carotid artery obstruction: A correlation with arteriography. Stroke 2:105-113, Mar-Apr 1971
- 7. Moore WS, Bean B, Burton R, et al: The use of ophthal-mosonometry in the diagnosis of carotid artery stenosis. Surgery 82:107-115, Jul 1977
- 8. McDenald PT, Rich NM, Collins GJ, et al: Comparison of 8. McDenaid F1, Ren NM, Collins 37, et al. Comparison of Doppler cerebrovascular examination, oculoplethysmography and ccular pneumoplethysmography for detection of carotid disease: A prospective clinical study. International Cardiovascular Society 26th Meeting, Los Angeles, 1978. Arch Surg 113:1341-1349, Nov
- 9. Barnes RW, Garrett WV, Slaymaker EE, et al: Doppler ultrasound and supraorbital photoplethysmography for noninvasive screening of carotid occlusive disease. Am J Surg 134:183-186, Aug 1977

- 10. Gurll N, Dewey CF, Lees RS: Phonoangiography: A non-invasive technique for diagnosis of arterial disease. Circulation 44(Suppl 2):173, 1971
- 11. Duncan GW, Gruber JO, Dewey CF, et al: Evaluation of carotid stenosis by phonoangiography. N Engl J Med 293:1124-1128, Nov 27, 1975
- 12. Kartchner MM, McRae LP, Mirrison FD: Noninvasive detection and evaluation of carotid occlusive disease. Arch Surg 106:528-535, 1973
- 13. Kartchner MM, McRae LP: Noninvasive evaluation and management of the asymptomatic carotid bruit. Surgery 82:840-847, Dec 1977
- 14. Gee W, Oller DW, Wylie EJ: Noninvasive diagnosis of carotid occlusion by ocular pneumoplethysmography. Stroke 7: 18-21, Jan-Feb 1976
- 15. Gross WS, Verta MJ, VanBellen B, et al: Comparison of noninvasive diagnostic techniques in carotid artery occlusive disease. Surgery 82:271-278, Aug 1977
- 16. Spencer MP, Reid JM, Davis DL, et al: Cervical carotid imaging with a continuous wave Doppler flowmeter. Stroke 5: 145-154, Mar-Apr 1974
- 17. White D, Brown RE (Eds): Ultrasound in Medicine, Vol 3B. New York, Plenum Publishing Corporation, 1977, p 129
- 18. Barnes RW, Bone GE, Reinertson J, et al: Noninvasive ultrasonic carotid angiography: Prospective validation by contrast arteriography. Surgery 80:328-335, Sep 1976
- 19. Mozersky DJ, Hokanson DE, Summer DS, et al: Ultrasonic visualization of the arterial lumen. Surgery 72:253-259, Aug 1972 20. Bernstein EF (Ed): Noninvasive Diagnostic Techniques in Vascular Disease, St Louis, CV Mosby Co, 1978, pp 29-39
- 21. Rutherford RB, Hiatt WR, Kreutzer E: The use of velocity wave form analysis in the diagnosis of carotid artery occlusive disease. Surgery 82:695-702, Nov 1977
- 22. Giddens DP, Mabon PF, Cassanove RA: Measurements of disordered flows distal to subtotal vascular stenoses in the thoracic aorta of dogs. Circulation Res 39:112-119, Jul 1976
- 23. Reinertson JE, Barnes RW: Carotid flow velocity scattering: Diagnostic value in Doppler ultrasonic arteriography. Clin Res 24:594A, 1976

## When Patients Are Choking

INSTRUMENTATION for foreign body removal has changed somewhat. . . . Misapplication of the Jackson cannulated foreign body forceps or misunderstanding as to their proper handling has resulted in some preference for the use of center action forceps, although it has a less delicate tactile field. . . . Our Foreign Body Committee has interdicted the hasty application of emergency methods of foreign body removal if the patient is moving air. Dr. Heimlich pointed out "I think for the first time—that the choking patient is silent . . . [not] coughing or wheezing."

-GABRIEL F. TUCKER, JR, MD, Chicago

In the Chevalier Jackson Lecture. Extracted from Audio-Digest Otorhinolaryngology, Vol. 12, No. 17 in the Audio-Digest Foundation's subscription programs. For subscription information: 1577 E. Chevy Chase Drive, Glendale, CA 91206